Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Neuropsychologia 49 (2011) 416-425

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Neuropsychologia



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/neuropsychologia

Emotional modulation of the attentional blink: The neural structures involved in capturing and holding attention $\!\!\!\!^{\bigstar}$

Lars Schwabe^{a,*}, Christian J. Merz^{a,b}, Bertram Walter^b, Dieter Vaitl^b, Oliver T. Wolf^a, Rudolf Stark^b

^a Department of Cognitive Psychology, Ruhr-University Bochum, Universitaetsstrasse 150, 44780 Bochum, Germany ^b Bender Institute of Neuroimaging, University of Giessen, 35394 Giessen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 April 2010 Received in revised form 21 December 2010 Accepted 23 December 2010 Available online 30 December 2010

Keywords: Attention Attentional blink Emotion Amygdala Orbitofrontal cortex

1. Introduction

Our ability to process sequentially presented stimuli is limited. A prominent example is the attentional blink which describes the severe impairment in detecting the second of two targets presented rapidly (i.e., within 500 ms) one after another among a stream of distractor items (Broadbent & Broadbent, 1987; Raymond, Shapiro, & Arnell, 1992). This blink occurs when attentional resources are consumed by the processing of the first target (T1), leaving only few attentional resources available for allocation to the second target (T2; Chun & Potter, 1995; Jolicoeur, 1999; Shapiro, Arnell, & Raymond, 1997). Studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) identified a fronto-parietal network as a locus of the attentional blink in the brain (Hein, Alink, Kleinschmidt, & Müller, 2008; Kranczioch, Debener, Schwarzbach, Goebel, & Engel, 2005; Marcantoni, Lepage, Beaudoin, Bourgouin, & Richer, 2003; Marois, Chun, & Gore, 2000; Marois, Yi, & Chun, 2004; Shapiro, Johnston, Vogels, Zaman, & Roberts, 2007).

There is compelling evidence that emotionally arousing stimuli can overcome, at least partly, the attentional limitations underlying the attentional blink. T2s that are emotionally arousing are

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 234 3229324; fax: +49 234 3229324. *E-mail address:* Lars.Schwabe@rub.de (L. Schwabe).

ABSTRACT

Perceiving a first target stimulus (T1) in a rapid serial visual presentation stream results in a transient impairment in detecting a second target (T2). This "attentional blink" is modulated by the emotional relevance of T1 and T2. The present experiment examined the neural underpinnings of the emotional modulation of the attentional blink. Behaviorally, the attentional blink was reduced for emotional T2 while emotional T1 led to a prolonged attentional blink. Using functional magnetic resonance imaging, we observed amygdala activation associated with the reduced attentional blink for emotional T2 in the face of neutral T1. The prolonged attentional blink following emotional T1 was correlated with enhanced activity in a cortical network including the anterior cingulate cortex, the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex. These results suggest that brain areas previously implicated in rather reflexive emotional reactions are responsible for the reduced attentional blink for emotional T2 whereas neural structures previously related to higher level processing of emotional information mediate the prolonged attentional blink following emotional T1.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

significantly better detected than neutral T2s and thus reduce the attentional blink (Anderson, 2005; Anderson & Phelps, 2001; De Martino, Kalisch, Rees, & Dolan, 2009; Keil & Ihssen, 2004; Schwabe & Wolf, 2010). This might reflect faster orienting towards emotional stimuli, prolonged holding of attention for emotional stimuli or both (Vuilleumier, 2005). Bilateral amygdala damage abolishes the enhanced detection of emotionally arousing T2s suggesting that this brain area is critical for the reduced attentional blink for emotionally arousing stimuli (Anderson & Phelps, 2001). To date, there is only one study that examined the neural correlates of the enhanced detection of emotional T2s in healthy humans (De Martino et al., 2009). This fMRI study showed enhanced activity in the rostral part of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), an area that shares reciprocal connectivity with the amygdala, that was related to the improved detection of fearful compared to neutral faces.

Recent evidence demonstrates that emotionally arousing stimuli do not always reduce the attentional blink but may also lead to a prolonged attentional blink. In particular, emotionally arousing T1s or emotionally arousing to-be-ignored distractor items decrease the report accuracy for subsequently presented neutral T2s (Arnell, Killman, & Fijavz, 2007; Mathewson, Arnell, & Mansfield, 2008; Most, Chun, Widders, & Zald, 2005; Stein, Zwickel, Ritter, Kitzmantel, & Schneider, 2009). Moreover, emotionally arousing T1s reduce the benefit in detection of emotional T2s (Schwabe & Wolf, 2010). Emotional stimuli are preferentially processed and attract substantial attentional resources (Dijksterhuis & Aarts, 2003; Vuilleumier, 2005). The enhanced processing demands

^{0028-3932/\$ -} see front matter © 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.12.037



Fig. 1. The rapid serial visual presentation (RSVP) task. Participants searched for target words written in red in a stream of 15 words each presented at fixation for 100 ms with no interstimulus interval. Target words were either neutral or emotional; distractor words were always neutral. There were either one or two target words (T1 and T2, respectively) per trial. If there were two targets, they were either separated by one (early lag, 200 ms; as in the trial shown here) or five (late lag, 600 ms) distractors in the pre-scan session; in the scanning session only the short T1–T2 interval was used. At the end of the RSVP, participants were asked to indicate by key press which of three words was presented as T1 or whether they had no word detected (response screen T1). Thereafter, they were asked to identify the T2 out of three alternatives; if they did not see a second target word they should choose "no word detected" (response screen T2). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

associated with emotional T1s leave less attentional capacities for the identification of T2s and thus result in a prolonged attentional blink (Mathewson et al., 2008). The neural circuitry underlying the attentional blink extension by emotionally arousing T1s is still unknown.

In the present experiment, we therefore examined whether the brain structures mediating the prolonged attentional blink following emotional T1s are the same as those involved in the reduced attentional blink for emotional T2s (De Martino et al., 2009). Participants viewed a rapid sequence of 15 words and had to identify two targets (T1 and T2) that were either neutral or emotionally arousing. Based on previous studies (Anderson, 2005; Keil & Ihssen, 2004; Mathewson et al., 2008; Schwabe & Wolf, 2010), we predicted a reduced attentional blink for emotional T2s and a prolonged attentional blink following emotional T1s. At the neural level, we expected that the amygdala would be involved in the enhanced detection of emotional T2s (Anderson & Phelps, 2001). Given that the prolonged attentional blink after emotionally arousing T1s appears to be primarily owing to the extended processing of the emotionally arousing T1s, it is tempting to speculate that prefrontal areas involved in the processing of emotional information (Damasio, 1996; Rolls, Hornak, Wade, & McGrath, 1994) might be associated with the failure to identify the T2 in the presence of emotional T1s.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Thirty-eight right-handed, healthy native speakers of German with normal or corrected to normal vision participated in this experiment (19 men, 19 women; mean age: 24.3 years, range: 20–35 years). Participants were prescreened to exclude those with a previous history of psychiatric or neurological illness. All subjects pro-

vided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the local ethics committee.

2.2. General procedure

At the beginning of the experiment, participants practiced the rapid serial visual presentation (RSVP) task out of the scanner. This training session, which comprised one trial for each of the 4 T1–T2 combinations at early and late lag and 4 no-T2 trials (see below), served solely to familiarize subjects with the task and was not included in the analyses. Following training, the emotional modulation of the attentional blink was examined in a pre-scan session, in which participants completed 96 trials of the RSVP task. Afterwards, subjects entered the scanner. Here they performed 144 trials of a modified version of the RSVP task that allowed investigating the neural correlates of the emotional modulation of attention during the attentional blink period. All in all the experiment lasted about 90 min.

2.3. Rapid serial visual presentation task

The RSVP task procedure is shown in Fig. 1. Participants searched for two red target words (T1 and T2) that were embedded in a stream of 15 words each presented centrally for 100 ms on a black screen with no interstimulus interval. Distractor words were neutral German nouns written in white. These words were significantly longer than target words (12.0 vs. 5.4 letters) to ensure that the targets were masked by them. T1s and T2s were either neutral (e.g. table, cup, month) or emotionally arousing (e.g. bitch, slave, hate) German nouns that were used in a previous study (Schwabe & Wolf, 2010). In this previous study, we could show that participants experienced the emotional words as significantly more arousing than the neutral words. We used 96 neutral and 96 emotional nouns as T1 and T2, respectively, with the constraint that each word could be used only twice as T1 and T2. Neutral distractor words used as alternatives in the test were randomly selected from a pool of 300 neutral and 36 emotional nouns that were presented as T1 or T2. All words that were used in the present study are shown in Appendix A.

Four T1–T2 combinations were presented: (i) both targets neutral, (ii) T1 neutral and T2 emotional, (iii) T1 emotional and T2 neutral, and (iv) both targets emotional. The position of the T1 varied randomly between the third and seventh position of the stream and there were either 1 or 5 distractors between T1 and T2 corresponding to early (inside the attentional blink period) and late (outside the attentional blink

period) temporal lags of 200 ms and 600 ms, respectively. Eight trials were presented for each of the 8 T1–T2 condition \times lag factor combinations. In addition, there were 32 trials in which no T2 was presented (half of them with a neutral T1 and the other half with an emotional T1), resulting in 96 trials that were presented in random order.

A trial began when the fixation cross disappeared that was presented for a variable time (5000-7400 ms) between trials (Fig. 1). The screen remained blank for 1000 ms before the onset of the RSVP stream which consisted of 15 words (1 or 2 red targets and 14 or 13 white distractors) displayed each for 100 ms. At the end of the RSVP stream, participants were presented two separate response screens for T1 and T2 (Fig. 1). First, they were asked to indicate via a key press on a button response box which of three word alternatives was presented as T1. They could also indicate that they did not see the T1 by choosing the option "no word detected". Earlier studies that examined the neural correlates of the attentional blink used mainly 2 or 3 alternative response formats (e.g. De Martino et al., 2009; Kranczioch et al., 2005; Marois et al., 2004; Shapiro et al., 2007). We have decided here for a 4 alternative response format to reduce the likelihood of guessing and choosing the presented T1 or T2 by chance. The response screen was shown for 3000 ms and the selected option was highlighted. Thereafter, subjects were asked to report which of three given alternatives was shown as T2 or to select "no word detected" if they did not see a T2. The distractor items presented on the response screens were never presented as targets. The emotionality of these distractor items varied randomly and their length was comparable to the length of the targets (5.9 vs. 5.4 letters).

The RSVP task used in the scanner was exactly the same as the RSVP task used in the pre-scan session, with one important exception. In the scanning session, there was no late lag condition but always an early T1–T2 lag because we were primarily interested in the neural mechanisms underlying the enhanced detection of emotional T2s and the reduced T2s detection after emotional T1s in the attentional blink period. Participants completed 24 trials for each of the 4 T1–T2 combinations and 48 no-T2 trials (24 with a neutral T1, 24 with an emotional T1), i.e., 144 trials in total, in the scanner.

2.4. Behavioral analyses

Following the standard procedure in the analysis of RSVP data, trials in which the T1 was not correctly detected were excluded from further analyses (Anderson & Phelps, 2001; De Martino et al., 2009; Keil & Ihssen, 2004). T2 detection accuracy in the pre-scan and scanning sessions was analyzed by separate repeated measures ANOVAs. Performance in the pre-scan session was subjected to a lag (early vs. late) × T1 (neutral vs. emotional) × T2 (neutral vs. emotional) ANOVA. As the T1–T2 interval was held constant at 200 ms in the scanning session the behavioral data from this session were submitted to a T1 × T2 ANOVA.

2.5. Image acquisition

Participants were scanned on a 1.5 T whole-body tomograph (Siemens Symphony [Erlangen, Germany], with a quantum gradient system) with a standard head coil. Structural image acquisition consisted of 160 T1-weighted sagittal images (MPRage, 1 mm slice thickness). For functional imaging, we acquired 866 T2*weighted images covering the whole brain using an echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (25 slices; descending slice procedure; repetition time (TR)=2.5 s; echo time (TE)=55 ms; 90° flip angle; matrix: 64 × 64; slice thickness: 5 mm; gap: 1 mm; field of view (FOV): 192 mm × 192 mm). Prior to functional scans, a gradient echo fieldmap sequence was measured for B0-correction with the same image geometry.

2.6. Image analyses

Image preprocessing and analyses were performed using the Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain (FMRIB) Software Library (FSL 4.1.4: www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). The first three volumes of each participant's EPI were discarded because of an incomplete steady state of magnetization. Preprocessing included B0 unwarping, motion correction and, slice time correction. Data were spatially smoothed using a 5 mm full-width half-maximum Gaussian kernel.

For each subject a general linear model was estimated. Regressors of interest were constructed by a stick function convolved by a hemodynamic response function (HRF) and the first derivative of the HRF, respectively. The following regressors were included: Hit and Miss for each combination of emotional and neutral T1 and T2; correct rejections, false alarms and not detected T1 for emotional as well as neutral T1; key presses, response screen, blank screen, as well as six regressors counting information about motion correction. The data were filtered in the temporal domain using a nonlinear high-pass filter with a 128 s cut-off. Time-series statistical analysis was carried out using FILM with local autocorrelation correction (Woolrich, Ripley, Brady, & Smith, 2001). Contrast estimates were calculated for Hit minus Miss (overall and for emotional and neutral T1s as well as emotional and neutral T2s separately), T1emotional minus T1neutral and T2emotional_Hit minus T2neutral_Hit by using the adequate regression estimates. Contrast images were registered to individual anatomical images and further to the standard space of the Montreal Neurological Institute brain (MNI-152) using FLIRT (Jenkinson, Bannister, Brady, & Smith, 2001; Jenkinson & Smith, 2001). Registration from high resolution structural to standard space was refined using FNIRT nonlinear registration (Anderson, Jenkinson, & Smith, 2007a, 2007b).

On the group level statistical analyses of the individual contrasts were done using FLAME (FMRIB's Local Analysis of Mixed Effects) stage 1 (Beckman, Jenkinson, & Smith. 2003: Woolrich, Behrens, Beckman, Jenkinson, & Smith, 2004) with a random effects model for one sample T-tests. Z (Gaussianised T) statistic images were thresholded using clusters determined by Z>2.3 and a corrected cluster significance threshold of α = 0.05 (Worsley, 2001). Additionally, trends up to a threshold of $p \le .20$ are reported because the statistical power for some of the contrasts was relatively low. We employed explorative whole brain as well as region of interest (ROI) analyses. A priori ROIs were the ACC, the amygdala, the orbitofrontal cortex and the insular cortex which were identified in previous neuroimaging studies on the emotional regulation of attention (Bishop, Duncan, & Lawrence, 2004; Bush, Luu, & Posner, 2000; De Martino et al., 2009; Dolan, 2002; Vuilleumier, 2005). The referring masks were taken from the Harvard-Oxford cortical and subcortical atlases delivered with FSL. Four participants had to be excluded from the fMRI analyses because they did not miss any T2. In addition, two participants had to be excluded because of excessive movement, thus leaving 32 participants (16 men, 16 women) in the fMRI analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Pre-scan session: emotional modulation of the attentional blink

In the pre-scan session, participants showed a robust attentional blink as expressed by a significant increase in T2 detection accuracy from the early to the late lag (58 percent vs. 93 percent, chance: 25 percent; main effect lag: $F_{(1,37)} = 205.3$, p < .001; Fig. 2). Emotionally arousing T1s and T2s had opposite effects on the attentional blink. Detection of T2s in the attentional blink period (i.e., at the early





| Та | bl | е | 1 |
|----|----|---|---|
| | | | |

| Brain areas more active in response to correctl | / detected emotional co | ompared to correctly o | detected neutral T1 an | d T2, respectively |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|

| Contrast | Brain region | x | у | z | Z-score | Cluster size | p_{corr} |
|---|----------------------------|-----|---------|-----|---------|--------------|------------|
| T1emotional – T1neutral | Left orbitofrontal cortex | -44 | 28 | -14 | 3.66 | 201 | .002 |
| | Left amygdala | -18 | $^{-6}$ | -14 | 2.61 | 5 | .078 |
| T1emotional_noT2 – T1neutral_noT2 | Left orbitofrontal cortex | -44 | 18 | -16 | 4.05 | 219 | .002 |
| | Right orbitofrontal cortex | 40 | 26 | -20 | 2.74 | 20 | .196 |
| | Left amygdala | -18 | -10 | -12 | 2.52 | 7 | .066 |
| T2emotional_Hit – T2neutral_Hit | Left orbitofrontal cortex | -44 | 18 | -16 | 3.48 | 68 | .050 |
| | Left amygdala | -20 | -2 | -16 | 2.55 | 4 | .075 |
| T1neutral_T2emotional_Hit – T1neutral_T2neutral_Hit | Left orbitofrontal cortex | -44 | 28 | -14 | 3.10 | 104 | .022 |

All *p*-values are FWE corrected for the respective ROI. Coordinates are given in MNI space.

lag) was impaired by emotionally arousing T1s (T1 \times lag interaction effect: $F_{(1,37)} = 11.3$, p < .005; T1 effect at early lag: $F_{(1,38)} = 21.6$, p < .001) whereas it was enhanced when T2s were emotionally arousing (T2 × lag interaction effect: $F_{(1,37)}$ = 31.0, p < .001; T2 effect at early lag: $F_{(1,38)}$ = 34.8, p < .001); the effect of the T1 did not depend on T2 emotionality (T1 × T2 interaction effect: $F_{(1,37)}$ = 1.5, p = .23) and there was no T1 or T2 effect at late lag (both p > .30). As shown in Fig. 2, the facilitating effect of emotionally arousing T2s at early lag was reduced when the T1 was also emotional, yet the referring lag \times T1 \times T2 interaction effect did not reach statistical significance ($F_{(1,37)}$ = 2.6, p = .11). In addition, it is noteworthy that the enhanced detection of emotional T2 was a relative enhancement as emotional T2s that were presented in the attentional blink period were significantly less often detected than when they were presented outside of the attentional blink period (65 percent vs. 93 percent; $t_{(37)} = 10.35$, p < .001).

The T2 false alarm rate was below 1 percent. For the entire pre-scan session, T1 detection accuracy was 93.8 percent and not influenced by T1 emotionality, T2 emotionality, T1–T2 lag or T2 presence (all p > .20).

3.2. Scanning session: neural correlates of the emotional modulation of attention

Behavioral data from the scanning session confirmed the emotional modulation of attention in the attentional blink period (Fig. 3). Emotionally arousing T1s reduced T2 detection (main effect T1: $F_{(1,37)}$ = 15.3, p < .001). In contrast, a T2 was better detected when it was emotionally arousing compared with when it was neutral (main effect T2: $F_{(1,37)}$ = 23.0, p < .001). Fig. 3 shows that the impact of the T2 emotionality depended significantly on the emotionality of the T1 (T1 × T2 interaction effect: $F_{(1,37)}$ = 6.2, p < .02).



Fig. 3. Detection of the second target in the RSVP stream in the scanning session as a function of first and second target (T1 and T2, respectively) emotionality. Note that the T2 was always presented in the attentional blink period, 200 ms after T1 onset. T2 accuracy was reduced when the T1 was emotionally arousing Emotionally arousing T2 was better detected than neutral T2. The latter effect was attenuated in the presence of emotionally arousing T1. Data represent means \pm s.e.m.; *p <.005; **p <.001.

The facilitating effect of emotional T2 was reduced when the T1 was also emotional.

Overall, T2 detection accuracy was better in the scanning session than in the pre-scan session (74 percent vs. 58 percent) which may be owing to practice effects or to the lack of a late lag which made the task more predictable and thus easier. Again, the T2 false alarm rate was below 1 percent. In the scanning session, participants were able to detect the T1 in 94.8 percent of the trials. T1 detection was not affected by T1 emotionality, T2 emotionality, T1–T2 lag and T2 presence (all p > .80).

3.2.1. Neural structures responding to emotional T1 and T2

Several brain areas showed significantly higher activation in response to emotional compared to neutral T1 and T2. Emotional compared to neutral T1s were associated with greater activity in the left orbitofrontal cortex. Furthermore, there was a trend for increased activation towards emotional compared with neutral T1s in the left amygdala (T1emotional – T1neutral; Table 1). Table 1 shows that the same areas were activated for emotional compared to neutral T1s when we considered only those trials in which no T2 was presented (T1emotional_noT2 – T1neutral_noT2).

The brain areas showing increased activity to correctly reported emotional vs. neutral T2s were very similar. The left orbitofrontal cortex showed greater activation towards correctly detected emotional compared to neutral T2s, a trend was found for the left amygdala (T2emotional_Hit – T2neutral_Hit; Table 1). When we considered only those trials in which the T1 was neutral, significant activation associated with correctly detected emotional vs. neutral T2 was found in the left orbitofrontal cortex (T1neutral_T2emotional_Hit – T1neutral_T2emotional_Hit; Table 1).

There were no significant activations associated with neutral compared to emotional T1s and T2s, respectively (T1neutral – T1emotional, T1neutral_noT2 – T1emotional_noT2, T2neutral_Hit – T2emotional_Hit, and T1neutral_T2neutral_Hit – T1neutral_T2emotional_Hit).

Thus the brain areas responding to emotional T1 and T2 were more or less the same. Please note, that the contrasts that were reported so far reflected the effect of the stimulus emotionality per se, irrespective of the detection performance.

3.2.2. Neural correlates of correct T2 detection

Overall, enhanced activity in the left amygdala was associated with subjects' detection of the T2. For the right amygdala, there was a trend for a significant increase in activation associated with correct T2 detection (Hit – Miss; Table 2).

Interestingly, a different neural network showed increased activity associated with the failure to detect the T2 compared with correct T2 detection. As shown in Table 2, we found enhanced activity for Miss – Hit in the ACC, the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex.

In addition, the exploratory whole brain analyses revealed greater activation in the paracingulate gyrus in the contrast Miss – Hit (Z = 3.84, p_{corr} < .001; x = -6, y = 18, z = 40).



Fig. 4. Brain areas associated with the correct T2 report in the presence of emotionally arousing T1. (A) Enhanced right sided amygdala activity was found for the contrast T1emotional_Hit – T1emotional_Miss. (B) Increased responses in the ACC, bilateral insula and bilateral orbitofrontal cortex in the contrast T1emotional_Miss – T1emotional_Hit.

Table 2

Brain areas associated with successful T2 detection (hit) and the failure to detect the T2 (miss), irrespective of T1 and T2 emotionality.

| Contrast | Brain region | x | у | Z | Z-score | Cluster size | p _{corr} |
|------------|----------------------------|-----|----|-----|---------|--------------|-------------------|
| Hit – Miss | Left amygdala | -26 | -6 | -22 | 2.87 | 27 | .027 |
| | Right amygdala | 24 | -2 | -22 | 2.68 | 10 | .069 |
| Miss – Hit | Anterior cingulate cortex | -4 | 22 | 34 | 3.49 | 162 | .009 |
| | Left insular cortex | -38 | 24 | 0 | 3.82 | 177 | .003 |
| | Right insular cortex | 36 | 22 | 0 | 3.94 | 141 | .006 |
| | Left orbitofrontal cortex | -32 | 26 | 0 | 3.50 | 53 | .077 |
| | Right orbitofrontal cortex | 34 | 26 | 0 | 3.76 | 65 | .046 |

All *p*-values are FWE corrected for the respective ROI. Coordinates are given in MNI space.

Table 3

Brain areas associated with successful T2 detection (hit) and the failure to detect the T2 (miss) following neutral and emotional T1.

| Contrast | Brain region | x | у | Z | Z-score | Cluster size | p_{corr} |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|----|-----|---------|--------------|------------|
| T1emotional_Hit – T1emotional_Miss | Left amygdala | -26 | -6 | -22 | 2.30 | 1 | .109 |
| | Right amygdala | 26 | 0 | -24 | 2.81 | 15 | .056 |
| T1emotional_Miss – T1emotional_Hit | Anterior cingulate cortex | -6 | 16 | 38 | 4.14 | 333 | <.001 |
| | Left insular cortex | -40 | 16 | -2 | 3.46 | 157 | .004 |
| | Right insular cortex | 34 | 24 | 2 | 3.86 | 101 | .015 |
| | Left orbitofrontal cortex | -38 | 24 | -2 | 3.54 | 66 | .051 |
| | Right orbitofrontal cortex | 36 | 26 | 0 | 3.95 | 96 | .019 |
| T1neutral_Hit – T1neutral_Miss | No significant activation | | | | | | |
| T1neutral_Miss – T1neutral_Hit | No significant activation | | | | | | |

All p-values are FWE corrected for the respective ROI. Coordinates are given in MNI space.

Because the T2 was correctly reported more often when it was emotionally arousing compared to neutral, the detection accuracy (Hit vs. Miss) is confounded with emotionality in the overall activation patterns for Hits vs. Misses. These factors are disentangled in Section 3.2.5.

3.2.3. Neural correlates of correct T2 detection following neutral and emotional T1

There was a trend for increased activity of the right amygdala associated with successful T2 detection when the T1 was emotional (T1emotional_Hit – T1emotional_Miss; Fig. 4A and Table 3z). In contrast, the failure to identify the T2 correctly in the presence of an emotional T1 was associated with increased activity in the ACC, the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex (T1emotional_Miss – T1emotional_Hit; Fig. 4B and Table 3). We did not find any significant activation related to the correct T2 report when the T1 was neutral.

3.2.4. Neural correlates of correct T2 detection for neutral and emotional T2

The enhanced detection of emotionally arousing T2s was associated with activity in the left amygdala, which showed a stronger activation when emotional T2s were detected than when they were missed (T2emotional_Hit – T2emotional_Miss; Fig. 5A and Table 4). The failure to detect an emotionally arousing T2 was associated with increased activation of the right orbitofrontal cortex, yet this activation did not reach statistical significance after FWE correction (T2emotional_Miss – T2emotional_Hit; Fig. 5B and Table 4).

For neutral T2s, we found a trend for a left sided amygdala activation associated with the correct T2 detection

Table 4

Brain areas associated with successful T2 detection (hit) and the failure to detect the T2 (miss) for neutral and emotional T2.

| Contrast | Brain region | x | у | Z | Z-score | Cluster size | p _{corr} |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|--------------|-------------------|
| T2emotional_Hit – T2emotional_Miss | Left amygdala | -28 | -10 | -16 | 2.83 | 15 | .046 |
| | Right amygdala | 26 | -4 | -18 | 2.43 | 3 | .111 |
| T2emotional_Miss – T2emotional_Hit | Right orbitofrontal cortex | 34 | 26 | 0 | 3.28 | 51 | .066 |
| T2neutral_Hit – T2neutral_Miss | Left amygdala | -28 | -4 | -24 | 2.39 | 4 | .068 |
| T2neutral_Miss – T2neutral_Hit | Anterior cingulate cortex | -6 | 18 | 38 | 3.14 | 68 | .098 |
| | Left insular cortex | -38 | 16 | -2 | 3.56 | 180 | .005 |
| | Right insular cortex | 34 | 22 | 0 | 3.31 | 56 | .061 |
| | Left orbitofrontal cortex | -44 | 18 | -8 | 3.38 | 73 | .054 |

All *p*-values are FWE corrected for the respective ROI. Coordinates are given in MNI space.



Fig. 5. Brain areas associated with correct report of emotionally arousing T2. (A) Increased activity in the left amygdala was associated with T2emotional.Hit – T2emotional.Miss. (B) Increased activity in the right orbitofrontal cortex was found for T2emotional.Miss – T2emotional.Hit.

(T2neutral_Hit – T2neutral_Miss; Table 4). The failing identification of neutral T2s was again associated with an increased activation of the insula, the left orbitofrontal cortex, and at trend level the ACC (T2neutral_Miss – T2neutral_Hit; Table 4).

No significant activations were found for the interaction contrasts (T1neutral_Hit – T1neutral_Miss) – (T1emotional_Hit – T1emotional_Miss) and (T2neutral_Hit – T2neutral_Miss) – (T2emotional_Hit – T2emotional_Miss) which was most likely due to the high hit rates (and by implication the reduced robustness of the miss trials) in the scanning session.

3.2.5. Disentangling the effects of target emotionality and detection accuracy

The behavioral data from both the pre-scanning and the scanning session showed that the emotionality effect of one target stimulus affected the emotionality effect of the other target. Thus, we decided to analyze the activations associated with the T2 detection accuracy in the four T1-T2 combinations separately. The results of these analyses are shown in Table 5. Most importantly, we found a strong trend for a right sided amygdala activation associated with the correct detection of emotional T2s when the T1 was neutral [T1neutral (T2emotional_Hit - T2emotional_Miss)] and a trend for an activation in the ACC, the right orbitofrontal cortex, and the insula associated with the failure to detect emotional T2s that followed emotional T1s [T1emotional (T2emotional_Miss - T2emotional_Hit)]. In addition, there was a trend for increased left sided activation of the insula that was related to the missing of emotional T2s in the face of neutral T1s [T1neutral (T2emotional_Miss - T2emotional_Hit)]. None of the other contrasts approached significance.

4. Discussion

Our behavioral findings replicate previous reports in showing that individuals have considerable difficulties to identify a T2 presented rapidly after a T1 (Broadbent & Broadbent, 1987; Raymond et al., 1992) and that this attentional blink is modulated by the emotionality of T1 and T2 (Anderson, 2005; Anderson & Phelps, 2001; Mathewson et al., 2008; Schwabe & Wolf, 2010). Emotionally arousing T2s reduced the attentional blink whereas emotionally arousing T1s led to a prolonged attentional blink. Furthermore, emotionally arousing T1s reduced the benefit in detection of emotionally arousing T2s suggesting that the processing of emotional T1s leaves fewer attentional resources for allocation to T2s, irrespective of whether the T2 is neutral or emotionally arousing.

Although one previous fMRI study has investigated the neural correlates of the enhanced detection of emotional T2s (De Martino et al., 2009), the present study is the first to examine the neural underpinnings of the opposite effects of emotionally arousing T1s and T2s on the attentional blink. Emotional compared with neutral T1s and T2s (i.e., T1emotional - T1neutral, and T2emotional_Hit - T2neutral_Hit) were associated with increased activity in the amygdala and the orbitofrontal cortex, brain areas that have been related to emotional processing in earlier studies (for reviews see Bechara, Damasio, & Damasio, 2000; Zald, 2002). Interestingly, these structures were differentially involved in the emotional modulation of the attentional blink. Increased activity in the (right) amygdala was associated with the enhanced identification of emotional T2s (i.e., a reduced attentional blink). Activity in the ACC, insula, and orbitofrontal cortex, however, was linked to the failure to detect emotional T2s in the face of emotional T1s (i.e., a prolonged attentional blink).

Two-stage bottleneck models of the attentional blink propose that two discrete stages of processing are required for successful T2 report (Chun & Potter, 1995; Jolicoeur, 1999). In a first, unconscious stage stimuli are rapidly categorized and potentially relevant stimuli are selected. These early representations are not sufficient for subsequent report but may initiate a second, "attentional" stage of processing. In this second stage an early stimulus representation is transferred into a more durable one that allows conscious report. Emotional stimuli are faster detected than neutral stimuli (Öhman, Flykt, & Esteves, 2001; Schupp, Junghöfer, Weike, & Hamm, 2003), i.e., they may be preferentially processed and selected in the first stage. Furthermore, emotional stimuli may be more resistant to masking by surrounding stimuli (Anderson, 2005). In addition to these stage one-processing effects, emotional stimuli are also more thoroughly processed in the second stage (Dijksterhuis & Aarts, 2003; Vuilleumier, 2005) which may be linked to enhanced working memory processes. Therefore, the detection of emotional T2s is enhanced and the attentional blink reduced for emotionally arousing T2s. If however the T1 is emotionally arousing, the enhanced

Table 5

Brain areas associated with successful T2 detection (hit) and the failure to detect the T2 (miss) when the four T1-T2 combinations were separated.

| Contrast | Brain region | x | у | Z | Z-score | Cluster size | p_{corr} |
|--|----------------------------|-----|----|-----|---------|--------------|------------|
| T1neutral (T2emotional_Hit – T2emotional_Miss) | Right amygdala | 26 | 0 | -24 | 2.99 | 14 | .056 |
| T1neutral (T2emotional_Miss – T2emotional_Hit) | Left insula | -30 | 20 | 2 | 2.87 | 26 | .137 |
| T1neutral (T2neutral_Hit – T2neutral_Miss) | No significant activation | | | | | | |
| T1neutral (T2neutral_Miss – T2neutral_Hit) | No significant activation | | | | | | |
| T1emotional (T2emotional_Hit – T2emotional_Miss) | No significant activation | | | | | | |
| T1emotional (T2emotional_Miss – T2emotional_Hit) | Anterior cingulate cortex | -6 | 16 | 38 | 3.12 | 36 | .191 |
| | Left insular cortex | -30 | 24 | 0 | 2.72 | 19 | .182 |
| | Right insular cortex | 30 | 26 | 4 | 2.92 | 22 | .162 |
| | Right orbitofrontal cortex | 32 | 20 | -14 | 3.17 | 42 | .084 |
| T1emotional (T2neutral_Hit – T2neutral_Miss) | No significant activation | | | | | | |
| T1emotional (T2neutral_Miss – T2neutral_Hit) | No significant activation | | | | | | |

All *p*-values are FWE corrected for the respective ROI. Coordinates are given in MNI space.

processing of the T1 in the capacity-limited second stage may impede the processing of a subsequent (neutral and emotional) T2 and hence prolong the attentional blink. Our behavioral results are in line with this view. Based on our fMRI data we suggest that different neural structures are associated with the impact of stimulus emotionality on the first and second stage of perceptual analysis and thus that different neural structures are responsible for the attenuation and extension of the attentional blink by emotional stimuli. We suggest that the amygdala mediates the selection of emotional stimuli in the first stage and is therefore responsible for the reduced attentional blink for emotionally arousing T2s while the more thorough analysis of emotional stimuli in the second stage (resulting in a prolonged attentional blink for subsequent stimuli) is mainly dependent on the ACC, the insula, and the orbitofrontal cortex. In other words, we propose that the amygdala is involved in the capture of attention to emotional stimuli whereas the ACC, the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex mediate the holding of attention. Support for this view comes from studies that used binocular rivalry and masking to manipulate awareness and demonstrate that the amygdala is involved in rather reflexive emotional reactions (Dolan & Vuilleumier, 2003; Pasley, Mayes, & Schultz, 2004; Whalen et al., 2004). When stimuli are classified as emotionally relevant, the amygdala recruits a network of connected areas, including the ACC, the insula, and the orbitofrontal cortex (Cavada, Company, Tejedor, Cruz-Rizzolo, & Reinoso-Suarez, 2000; Holland & Gallagher, 1999; LeDoux, 2000), which are then responsible for the further processing of emotional stimuli (Damasio et al., 2000; Dolan, 2002). At this point, it is to be noted that although previous research implicated the amygdala mainly in automatic processing of emotional (especially negative) material, there is some recent evidence suggesting that the amygdala processes emotional stimuli not automatically but flexibly in accordance with the current processing goals (Cunningham, Van Bravel, & Johnsen, 2008; Lien, Ruthruff, & Iohnston, 2010).

Our finding that the activity of the amygdala predicted participants' ability to report an emotional T2 (in the face of neutral T1s) correctly is in line with theoretical models that implicate the amygdala in the emotional modulation of the attentional blink (Palermo & Rhodes, 2007; Taylor & Fragopanagos, 2005) and with neuropsychological evidence showing that the benefit in detection of emotional T2 disappears after amygdala damage (Anderson & Phelps, 2001). Previous data indicated that amygdala damage impaired the effects of emotional but not perceptual salience of the AB (Anderson & Phelps, 2001). Thus the role of the amygdala in our study is likely to specifically reflect emotional or motivational salience. Earlier findings showed that the left but not the right amygdala is critical for arousal modulation of the AB for words, which was impaired following left but not right anterior temporal lobectomy (Anderson & Phelps, 2001). At first glance, our results might appear in line with these findings because detection accuracy was in the present study stronger associated with the left amygdala than with the right amygdala. The study by Anderson and Phelps (2001), however, used only neutral T1s and when we considered only the neutral T1 – emotional T2 condition, we found that performance correlated with right but not with left amygdala activity.

Surprisingly, the amygdala was also active in the contrast T2neutral_Hit – T2neutral_Miss which suggests that the amygdala might also support the detection of neutral T2 in the attentional blink period. The reliability of this finding, however, is questioned by the absence of amygdala activation in the T1neutral_Hit – T1neutral_Miss contrast. Moreover, the amygdala activation associated with the detection of neutral T2s disappeared when emotional and neutral T1s were considered separately.

Our results on the role of the ACC in the emotional modulation of the attentional blink might at first glance appear to be contradictory to previous findings. Here, we report that the ACC was associated with the failure to detect emotional T2 while the ACC was previously related to the successful report of emotional T2 (De Martino et al., 2009). In the present study, the amygdala was involved in the enhanced detection of emotional T2 (in the face of neutral T1s). A crucial difference between the present and the previous study (De Martino et al., 2009) is that we presented emotional T1s and T2s whereas there were only emotional T2s in the previous study. As we have argued above, the ACC (together with the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex) may be involved in the enhanced second stage processing of emotional stimuli. This enhanced second stage processing facilitates on the one hand the proposed short-term consolidation of a stimulus (Jolicoeur, 1999) and thus the stimulus detection. On the other hand, however, it increases the threshold for the processing of subsequent stimuli. Thus, the ACC may facilitate the correct report of an emotional T2 when it has not been activated by a previous (emotional) stimulus. If, however, the ACC is already occupied by the processing of an emotional T1, this reduces the likelihood of engaging in the second stage processing of the T2 which in turn reduces the accuracy of T2 report. This idea is supported by our finding that the ACC was associated with the failure to detect emotional T2s if the T1 was also emotional but not if the T1 was neutral.

While we relate the activation of the ACC, the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex to attentional processing, it might also be argued that it reflects participants realizing that they have missed the T2. In particular, the ACC has been associated with performance monitoring by detecting errors (Carter et al., 1998). However, while ACC activations were found in the contrasts T1emotional_Miss - T1emotional_Hit and at trend level in the contrast T2neutral_Miss-T2neutral_Hit, there was no such activation in the contrasts T1neutral_Miss-T1neutral_Hit and T2emotional_Miss - T2emotional_Hit suggesting that the observed ACC activations were at least not solely owing to error detection effects. Moreover, at least for the ACC, activations were much more pronounced in the contrast T1emotional_Miss - T1emotional_Hit, which shows the areas that contribute to the extended attentional blink after emotional T1s, than in the contrast T2neutral_Miss -T2neutral_Hit. In line with this view, the ACC activation in the contrast T2neutral_Miss - T2neutral_Hit disappeared when the T1 neutral and T1 emotional trials were analyzed separately.

Finally, three weaknesses of the present study need to be addressed. First, given the very short T1-T2 interval and the relatively low temporal resolution of fMRI, we can hardly separate the brain activations associated with T1 and T2. Same as other studies investigating the attentional blink and its emotional modulation in the scanner we had to rely on the comparison of trials in which different T1-T2 combinations were realized (De Martino et al., 2009). Second, it is to be noted that the activations we report here are relative activations (in which one T1-T2 combination is compared to another) and no greater than baseline activations. Although previous findings suggest that these relative activations are also associated with greater than baseline activations (De Martino et al., 2009), this conclusion remains relatively speculative based on the present data. Third, we did not include late lag trials and focused solely on the early lag (i.e., the attentional blink period that was most interesting with respect to the purpose of this study) in the scanning session. We decided against a late lag condition in the scanning session because that would have almost doubled the duration of the RSVP task and it appeared questionable if participants could keep their attention for such a long time (about 60 min). The absence of a late lag in the scanning session, however, affects the interpretation of the fMRI findings. For example, it remains unclear whether the observed T2 activation pattern is specific to the attentional blink interval or whether it would also be observed for T2 presented at late lags.

Bug

In summary, the present experiment provides another demonstration of our ability to quickly and efficiently detect emotionally relevant stimuli. Corroborating earlier studies we show that emotional stimuli capture and hold attention which results in a reduced attentional blink for emotionally arousing T2s but a prolonged attentional blink for stimuli presented rapidly after emotionally arousing T1s (Anderson, 2005; Mathewson et al., 2008; Schwabe & Wolf, 2010). Our imaging data indicate that enhanced amygdala activity can account for the enhanced detection of emotional T2s whereas a cortical network (including the ACC, the insula and the orbitofrontal cortex) mediates the impaired detection of T2s following an emotional T1 suggesting that distinct neural structures are involved in capturing and holding attention for emotionally relevant information.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a grant of the Rektoratsfonds of the Ruhr-University Bochum.

Appendix A.

| Neutral words used as ' | T1 or T2 (translated from Ge | rman). |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Air | Entrance | Plant |
| Announcement | Episode | Question |
| Apple | Floor | Rack |
| Archive | Fork | Role |
| Article | Frame | Room |
| Asset | Function | Saloon |
| Back | Glass | Sand |
| Bag | Hall | Scantling |
| Bar | Hand | Script |
| Basket | House | Sentence |
| Beaker | Ink | Speech |
| Bell | Iron | Square |
| Blackboard | Jar | Stair |
| Board | Lamp | Stone |
| Bottom | Leaf | Street |
| Branch | Leather | Symbol |
| Can | Line | Table |
| Cap | Management | Term |
| Car | Meeting | Text |
| Card | Meter | Thing |
| Chalk | Month | Time |
| Circumference | Motor | Tooth |
| Coat | Name | Tower |
| Column | Number | Trousers |
| Contents | Oven | Umbrella |
| Contract | Packet | Wall |
| Country | Page | Water |
| Cup | Paper | Way |
| Domain | Pattern | Weather |
| Door | Pen | Window |
| Dot | Phase | Wood |
| Duration | Planet | Word |
| Emotional words used | as T1 or T2 (translated from | German). |
| Abyss | Exitus | Prick |
| AIDS | Fart | Puke |
| Alarm | Fat | Purulence |
| Armor | Fizzling | Quim |
| Ass | Force | Revenge |
| Assault | Gaper | Robbery |
| Bale | Gay | Satan |
| Balls | Gibbet | Scum |
| Bane | Gonorrhea | Shit |
| Bastard | Grub | Slave |
| Betraval | Hangman | Slouch |
| Bitch | Harlot | Sperm |
| Blain | Hate | Stink |
| Blemish | Hell | Terror |
| Bomb | Hitler | Thief |
| Booger | Hooker | Tick |
| - | | |

| Bunker | Hostage | Tumor |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Cadaver | Hunger | Urine |
| Collance | Idiot | Vampire |
| Conapse | Impotence | Victini |
| Crash | Lie | Violence Virus |
| Cripple | Loss | Wanker |
| Cunt | Massacre | War |
| Death | Mildew | Wart |
| Devil | Murderer | Weapon |
| Dildo | Nazi | Whore |
| Dirt | Panic | Witch |
| Disgrace | Pest | Wound |
| Distress | Porno | Zombie |
| Neutral words i | used as distractors in the | RSVP stream (translated |
| from German) | | |
| Absence | Fscalator | Population |
| Acquisition | Event | Positioning |
| Additive | Extension cable | Predictability |
| Administration | External communications | Presentation |
| Advance sale | Fallacy | Presentation |
| Advertisement | Fence post | Presentation of results |
| Affability | Fingerpost | Procession |
| Affirmation | Flagpole | Program |
| Agreement | Flex Floor decking | Programming |
| Alignment | Flower pot | Question of understanding |
| Allocation | Foreign word | Questionableness |
| Announcement | Fork lift | Receptacle |
| Answering machine | Freezer | Recycle bin |
| Arbitrary act | Fume hood | Referee |
| Assembly | Furniture store | Relocation agency |
| Assignment of dates | Gangway | Remake |
| Attendance | Garage | Remnants |
| Auditorium | Garden door | Repetition |
| AutionZation | Clove | Restaurant |
| Racklight | Goalpost | Rinsing agent |
| Backrest | Group discussion | Roadhouse |
| Backup | Growing | Roller blind |
| Balcony furniture | Gym | Roof-deck |
| Ball pen | Hairpin | Roundtable |
| Basis for discussion | Hand calculator | Row house |
| Basis for negotiation | Haystack | Sales booth |
| Bearing | Headline | Saltcellar Scale basis |
| Book cover | Home | Scale unit |
| Bootlace | Hosepipe | Schedule |
| Bottleneck | Imagination | Scratchpad |
| Box office | Inaugural speech | Screen |
| Bridge over | In-box | Seconds hand |
| Briefing | Indication | Service |
| Brightness | Inducement | Shampoo |
| Broomstick | Influence | Shipment |
| Buildin of Keys | Ingredient | Shoulder bag |
| Caddy | Inventory | Signature |
| Cake pan | Involvement | Simplification |
| Calculator | Justification | Slicer |
| Calendar sheet | Keyhole | Snack-bar |
| Car tire | Kitchenette | Snow plough |
| Card game | Ladder spoke | Soft toy |
| Car-jack | Ladle | Spate stick |
| Century | Laundry machine | Spinal column |
| Chimney | Licensed House | Stamp |
| Cleaning | Light switch | Station |
| Clock-face | Line spacing | Stav |
| Clothesline | Logging | Stirrup holder |
| Clothespin | Loudspeaker | Store display |
| Coat hanger | Lounge | Storing position |
| Coffee cup | Lunch | Straw |
| Color palette | Mailbox | Summary |
| Compatibility | Maior situ | Sundial |
| Competitive sports | Manifestation | Survey result |
| Composition | Master | Table of contents |
| F | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Hooligan

Torture

Author's personal copy

L. Schwabe et al. / Neuropsychologia 49 (2011) 416-425

| Compound | Measuring jug | Таре |
|--|--|---|
| Connection | Medium wave | Tea bag |
| Consultation-hour | Membership | Telephone receiver |
| Contact address | Microgram | Telescope |
| Contact point | Microphone | Text format |
| Conveyor | Microwave | Thermometer |
| Corkscrew | Model car | Thigh |
| Cost recovery | Morning | Thursday |
| Countryside | Multi-purpose hall | Time of departure |
| Crossroads | New release | Topics of conversation |
| Curtain rod | News | Total number |
| Cushion | Newsprint | Track |
| Cutlery | Noise level | Transgression |
| Data entry | Nomenclature | Transport |
| Date display | Notepad | Treaty |
| Dawn | Notification | Tree trunk |
| Deal | Number pad | Typewriter |
| Decimal | Nurse | Underlay |
| Degree of kinship | Object | University calendar |
| Delay | Observation | Vacuum cleaner |
| Demonstration | Omission | Vehicle owner |
| Desk | Opening hours | videotape |
| Desk top | Out wall | Waiting loop |
| Destination | Oxygen | Wall paint |
| Diameter | Page number | Water basin |
| Diesel engine | Page reference | Water boiler |
| Difference | Paper-fastener | Water surface |
| Dinner | Penholder | Water-tap |
| Disclosure | Peppermint tea | Weather |
| Distance | Perception | Weather prediction |
| Distribution | Personnel | Weather report |
| Drive control | Photo album | Windowsill |
| Driveshaft | Picture editing | Windshield wiper |
| Duplication | Picture frame | Winter garden |
| Employee training | Pin board | Work area |
| Employer | Pipe tongs | Works meeting |
| Endurance | Plastic folder | World receiver |
| Entrance hall | Playback | Wrapping film |
| Eraser | Playground | Zipper |
| Neutral words used | l as foils in the test (trar | slated from German). |
| Auto | Feather | Pan |
| Band | Field | Roof |
| Bottle | Fog | Root |
| Brace | Format | Shield |
| Button | Gradient | Sign |
| Can | Handle | Sole |
| Сар | Hour | Sound |
| Chair | Ladder | Space |
| Circle | Lug | Spoon |
| Color | Note | Vase |
| Concrete | Number | Video |
| Date | Outlook | Wire |
| Emotional words u | used as foils in the test | (translated from Ger- |
| | ised us folis in the test | (translated from der |
| IIIdII). | B -1 1 | 5 |
| Accident | Fetish | Pussy |
| Amok | | Racist |
| Bankruptcy | FOOL | nuclist D. i i |
| D | Fool Fucker | Rejection |
| Beggar | F001 Fucker Hustler | Rejection Scab |
| Beggar Burglary | Fool Fucker Hustler Injection | Rejection Scab Suicide |
| Beggar Burglary Burning | Fool Fucker Hustler Injection Lesbian | Rejection Scab Suicide Slime |
| Beggar Burglary Burning Coffin | Fool Fucker Hustler Injection Lesbian Louse | Rejection Scab Suicide Slime Spider |
| Beggar Burglary Burning Coffin Coma | Fool Fucker Hustler Injection Lesbian Louse Nigger | Rejection Scab Suicide Slime Spider Tits |
| Beggar Burglary Burning Coffin Coma Corpse | Fool Fucker Hustler Injection Lesbian Louse Nigger Orgy | Rejection Rejection Scab Suicide Slime Spider Tits Trash |
| Beggar Burglary Burning Coffin Coma Corpse Crisis | Fool Fucker Hustler Injection Lesbian Louse Nigger Orgy Peeper | Rejection Rejection Scab Suicide Slime Spider Tits Trash Vagina |
| Beggar Burglary Burning Coffin Coma Corpse Crisis Decay | Fool Fucker Hustler Injection Lesbian Louse Nigger Orgy Peeper Piss | Rejection Scab Suicide Slime Spider Tits Trash Vagina Whip |
| Beggar Burglary Burning Coffin Coma Corpse Crisis Decay Diarrhea | Fool Fucker Hustler Injection Lesbian Louse Nigger Orgy Peeper Piss Plague | Rejection Scab Suicide Slime Spider Tits Trash Vagina Whip Wooer |

References

Anderson, A. K. (2005). Affective influences on the attentional dynamics supporting awareness. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 134(2), 258–281.

Anderson, J. L. R., Jenkinson, M., & Smith, S. M. (2007). Non-linear optimisation. FMRIB technical report TR07/A1.

Anderson, J. L. R., Jenkinson, M., & Smith, S. M. (2007). Non-linear registration, aka spatial normalisation. FMRIB technical report TR07JA2.

Anderson, A. K., & Phelps, E. A. (2001). Lesions of the human amygdala impair enhanced perception of emotionally salient events. *Nature*, 411(6835), 305–309.

Arnell, K. M., Killman, K. V., & Fijavz, D. (2007). Blinded by emotion: Target misses follow attention capture by arousing distractors in RSVP. *Emotion*, 7, 465–477. Bechara, A., Damasio, H., & Damasio, A. R. (2000). Emotion, decision making and the orbitofrontal cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, 10(3), 295–307.

Beckman, C., Jenkinson, M., & Smith, S. M. (2003). General multi-level linear modelling for group analysis in fMRI. *NeuroImage*, 20, 1052–1063.
Bishop, S. J., Duncan, J., & Lawrence, A. D. (2004). State anxiety modulation of the

- Bishop, S. J., Duncan, J., & Lawrence, A. D. (2004). State anxiety modulation of the amygdala response to unattended threat-related stimuli. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 24(46), 10364–10368.
- Broadbent, D. E., & Broadbent, M. H. (1987). From detection to identification: Response to multiple targets in rapid serial visual presentation. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 42, 105–113.

Bush, G., Luu, P., & Posner, M. I. (2000). Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 4(6), 215–222.

Carter, C. S., Braver, T. S., Barch, D. M., Botvinick, M. M., Noll, D., & Cohen, J. D. (1998). Anterior cingulate cortex, error detection, and the online monitoring of performance. *Science*, 280(5364), 747–749.

Cavada, C., Company, T., Tejedor, J., Cruz-Rizzolo, R. J., & Reinoso-Suarez, F. (2000). The anatomical connections of the macaque monkey orbitofrontal cortex. A review. *Cerebral Cortex*, 10(3), 220–242.

Chun, M. M., & Potter, M. C. (1995). A two-stage model for multiple target detection in serial visual presentation. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 21(1), 109–127.

Cunningham, W. A., Van Bravel, J. J., & Johnsen, I. R. (2008). Affective flexibility: Evaluative processing goals shape amygdala activity. *Psychological Science*, 19(2), 152–160.

Damasio, A. R. (1996). The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal cortex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 351(1346), 1413–1420.

Damasio, A. R., Grabowski, T. J., Bechara, A., Damasio, H., Ponto, L. L. B., Parvizi, J., et al. (2000). Subcortical and cortical brain activity during the feeling of self-generated emotions. *Nature Neuroscience*, 3(10), 1049–1056.
 De Martino, B., Kalisch, R., Rees, G., & Dolan, R. J. (2009). Enhanced processing of

De Martino, B., Kalisch, R., Rees, G., & Dolan, R. J. (2009). Enhanced processing of threat stimuli under limited attentional resources. *Cerebral Cortex*, 19, 127– 133.

Dijksterhuis, A., & Aarts, H. (2003). On wildebeests and humans: The preferential detection of negative stimuli. *Psychological Science*, *14*, 14–18.

Dolan, R. J. (2002). Emotion, cognition, and behavior. Science, 298, 1191-1194.

Dolan, R. J., & Vuilleumier, P. (2003). Amygdala automaticity in emotional processing. Annals of the New York Academy of the Sciences, 1, 348–355.

Hein, G., Alink, A., Kleinschmidt, A., & Müller, N. G. (2008). The attentional blink modulates activity in the early visual cortex. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 21(1), 197–206.

Holland, P. C., & Gallagher, M. (1999). Amygdala circuitry in attentional and representational processes. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 3, 65–73.

Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M., & Smith, S. M. (2001). Improved optimisation for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. *NeuroImage*, 17(2), 825–841.
Jenkinson, M., & Smith, S. M. (2001). A global optimisation method for robust affine

Jenkinson, M., & Smith, S. M. (2001). A global optimisation method for robust affine registration of brain images. *Medical Image Analysis*, 5(2), 143–156.

Jolicoeur, P. (1999). Dual-task interference and visual encoding. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 25(3), 596–616.

Keil, A., & Ihssen, N. (2004). Identification facilitation of emotionally arousing verbs during the attentional blink. *Emotion*, *4*(1), 23–35.

Kranczioch, C., Debener, S., Schwarzbach, J., Goebel, R., & Engel, A. K. (2005). Neural correlates of conscious perception in the attentional blink. *NeuroImage*, 24, 704–714.

- LeDoux, J. E. (2000). Emotion circuits in the brain. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 23, 155–184.
- Lien, M. C., Ruthruff, E., & Johnston, J. C. (2010). Attentional capture with rapidly changing attentional controlling sets. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 36(1), 1–16.

Marcantoni, W. S., Lepage, M., Beaudoin, G., Bourgouin, P., & Richer, F. (2003). Neural correlates of dual task interference in visual streams: An fMRI study. *Brain and Cognition*, 53, 318–321.

Marois, R., Chun, M. M., & Gore, J. C. (2000). Neural correlates of the attentional blink. *Neuron*, 28, 299–308.

Marois, R., Yi, D. J., & Chun, M. M. (2004). The neural fate of consciously perceived and missed events in the attentional blink. *Neuron*, 41, 465–472.
Mathewson, K. J., Arnell, K. M., & Mansfield, C. A. (2008). Capturing and holding

Mathewson, K. J., Arnell, K. M., & Mansfield, C. A. (2008). Capturing and holding attention: The impact of emotional words in rapid serial visual presentation. *Memory & Cognition*, 36(1), 182–200.

Most, S. B., Chun, M. M., Widders, D. M., & Zald, D. H. (2005). Attentional rubbernecking: Cognitive control and personality in emotion-induced blindness. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 12(4), 654–661.

Öhman, A., Flykt, A., & Esteves, F. (2001). Emotion drives attention: Detecting the snake in the grass. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 130(3), 466–478.

Palermo, R., & Rhodes, G. (2007). Are you always on my mind? A review of how face perception and attention interact. *Neuropsychologia*, 45, 75–92.

Pasley, B. N., Mayes, L. C., & Schultz, R. T. (2004). Subcortical discrimination of unperceived objects during binocular rivalry. *Neuron*, 42(1), 163–172.

- Raymond, J. E., Shapiro, K. L., & Arnell, K. M. (1992). Temporary suppression of visual processing in an RSVP task: An attentional blink? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 18(3), 849–860.
- Rolls, E. T., Hornak, J., Wade, D., & McGrath, J. (1994). Emotion-related learning in patients with social and emotional changes associated with frontal lobe damage. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 57(12), 1518– 1524.

424

- Schupp, H. T., Junghöfer, M., Weike, A. I., & Hamm, A. O. (2003). Attention and emotion: An ERP analysis of facilitated emotional stimulus processing. *Neuroreport*, 14, 1107–1110.
- Schwabe, L., & Wolf, O. T. (2010). Emotional modulation of the attentional blink: Is there an effect of stress? *Emotion*, 10(2), 283–288.
- Shapiro, K. L., Arnell, K. M., & Raymond, J. E. (1997). The attentional blink. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 1(8), 291–296.
- Shapiro, K. L., Johnston, S. J., Vogels, W., Zaman, A., & Roberts, N. (2007). Increased functional magnetic resonance imaging activity during nonconscious perception in the attentional blink. *Neuroreport*, 18, 341–345.
- Stein, T., Zwickel, J., Ritter, J., Kitzmantel, M., & Schneider, W. X. (2009). The effect of fearful faces on the attentional blink is task dependent. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 16(1), 104–109.
- Taylor, J. G., & Fragopanagos, N. F. (2005). The interaction of attention and emotion. Neural Networks, 18(4), 353–369.

- Vuilleumier, P. (2005). How brains beware: Neural mechanisms of emotional attention. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9(12), 585–594.
- Whalen, P. J., Kagan, J., Cook, R. G., Davis, F. C., Kim, H., Polis, S., et al. (2004). Human amygdala responsivity to masked fearful eye whites. *Science*, 306(5704), 2061.
 Woolrich, M. W., Behrens, T. E. J., Beckman, C., Jenkinson, M., & Smith, S. M. (2004).
- Woolrich, M. W., Behrens, T. E. J., Beckman, C., Jenkinson, M., & Smith, S. M. (2004). Multi level linear modelling for fMRI group analysis using Bayesian inference. *NeuroImage*, 21(4), 1732–1747.
- Woolrich, M. W., Ripley, B. D., Brady, J. M., & Smith, S. M. (2001). Temporal autocorrelation in univariate linear modelling of fMRI data. *NeuroImage*, 14(6), 1370– 1386.
- Worsley, K. J. (2001). Statistical analysis of activation images. In P. Jezzard, P. M. Metthews, & S. M. Smith (Eds.), *Functional MRI: An introduction to methods*. Oxford: University Press.
- Zald, D. H. (2002). The human amygdala and the emotional evaluation of sensory stimuli. Brain Research Reviews, 41(1), 88–123.